

4/6/99 mm  
can't find  
**EPA REGION IX SITE SCREENING CHECKLIST  
ABBREVIATED REMOVAL ASSESSMENT VERSION**

2/99

This review checklist is to be used by individual site screening staff when reviewing sites which have been brought to the attention of EPA or the State. .

**1.0 GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS**

Complete Section 1 for the site using readily available information and contacting appropriate individuals.

**1.1 Site Information**

Site Name:

Shellman Products Corp

Alias Name:

Site Street Address:

City, County, State:

South Gate CA

EPA ID Number:

CAD 983 576 190

Site Screener:

W. LewisDate: 19 Mar 99

Date of Discovery:

**2.0 REMOVAL ASSESSMENT CRITERIA — NCP EVALUATION**

Use the following criteria to determine if the site should be referred to EPA's Removal Section. If the answer to any question is yes, the site is eligible for a removal assessment. If all answers are no, the site is not eligible for removal assessment. If a question cannot be answered, explain why in the Comments section below.

1. Is there actual or potential exposure to nearby populations, animals, or the food chain from hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants? [ ] Yes [ ] No
2. Is there actual or potential contamination of drinking supplies or sensitive ecosystems? [ ] Yes [ ] No
3. Are hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants in drums, barrels, tanks, or other bulk storage containers which may pose a threat of release? [ ] Yes [ ] No
4. Are there high levels of hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants in soils largely at or near the surface, which may migrate and affect populations or the environment? [ ] Yes [ ] No
5. Could weather conditions cause hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants to migrate or be released? [ ] Yes [ ] No
6. Is there a threat of fire or explosion? [ ] Yes [ ] No
7. Are there appropriate Federal or State response mechanisms to respond to the release or potential release? [ ] Yes [ ] No

8. Are there other situations or factors which may pose threats to public health, welfare, or the environment? ☐ Yes ☐ No
9. < Reserved > ☐ Yes ☐ No
10. For the situation where there appears to be primarily a groundwater contamination problem, is there a near-surface source which can be removed? ☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

RA - Not recommended

Facility operational

**DECISION:**

- 1.0 ☒ No Removal Assessment Necessary - Section 1 Completed. Section 2 - comments at minimum
- 2.0 ☐ Refer for Removal Assessment Consideration - Follow Up - sections 1 and 2 required
- 2.1 ☐ Removal Assessment - Sections 1 and 2 required
- 3.0 ☐ Removal Assessment - Sections 1 and 2 required

OSC Signature and Date:

W E Chum 19 Mar 99